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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mirror foot is a very rare and complex congenital anomaly. We report the case of a 1-year-old child who was treated surgically. At 3 years follow-up, the results were satisfactory. We report this case for its rarity, unusual presentation, and successful treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Polydactyly is a condition of the hand or foot with more than five fingers or toes. The term polydactyly was coined by the Dutch Physician Theodor Kerckring in 1670.

Congenital anomalies of the limb are classified into seven categories proposed by Frantz and O’Rahilly1 and modified by Swanson2 based on embryonic failure. The categories described are failure of formation of parts, failure of differentiation, duplication, overgrowth, undergrowth, congenital constriction band syndrome, and generalized skeletal abnormalities.

Polydactyly belongs to the category of duplication. Research has shown that the majority of congenital anomalies occur during the 4-week embryologic period of rapid limb development.3 Polydactyly has been associated with 39 genetic mutations.4

Polydactyly occurs as an isolated congenital condition or as a part of a genetic syndrome with multiple congenital anomalies. The reported anomalies in association with mirror foot are mirror hands,5 tibial hemimelia (various degrees of hypoplasia of tibia),6 fibular dimelia (absent tibia with duplication of fibula),7,8 and Laurin–Sandrow syndrome.9

Morphogenetically, the malformation can be considered as a process of bifurcation of one or several fingers or toe rays in the longitudinal axis progressing from distal to proximal. In addition to simple duplication, multiple duplications also occur to the extent of creating a double Hand (diplocheiria) or a double foot (diplopodia).10

Polydactyly of foot is a common foot anomaly accounting for 45% of congenital foot abnormalities11 and occurs bilaterally in 40 to 50% cases.

Temtamy and McKusick have described polydactyly based on the location of extra digit: Preaxial (medial ray), central, and postaxial (lateral ray).12 Postaxial polydactyly occurring in 80% of the patients is often asymmetric. Preaxial polydactyly affects the big toe and occurs in 15% of patients, while central duplication occurs in the remaining 5%, often duplicating a hypoplastic metatarsal ray.13

An extreme form of preaxial foot polydactyly has been coined the term mirror foot or preaxial mirror polydactyly14 or has been considered the same as diplopodia.14 For the diagnosis of mirror foot, there has to be a mirror image polydactyly on the medial aspect of foot. However, there is a debate regarding the fulfilment of the criteria, particularly the duplication of all the tarsal and metatarsal bones on the medial side of the foot. There is no universal agreement on what constitutes a mirror foot.15 While some authors consider mirror foot as any foot with mirror image polydactyly,16 others17 believe that mirror duplication of all the skeletal elements of the foot on the tibial aspect must be present to fulfill the criteria for diagnosing a mirror foot. There are others who suggest that feet with supernumerary rays situated preaxially (i.e., medial to the first ray), but with characteristics of postaxial toes be considered as mirror feet.14 Preaxial mirror polydactyly is distinctly rare with only 30 cases reported in literature.18,19

We report a case of preaxial mirror polydactyly with nine toes with eight metatarsals in the right foot of a 12-month-old male child. We report this case for its rarity, unusual presentation, and successful surgical treatment.

CASE REPORT

A 12-month-old male child was brought to our outpatient department with nine toes in his right foot.

There were four duplicated toes between the well-developed preaxial great toe and the four postaxial normal toes (Fig. 1). There was full-term normal delivery at home. There was no history of congenital malformations. On
examination, there was no abnormality in the spine, upper limbs, and lower limbs. The hip, knee, ankle, subtalar and metatarso phalangeal joints of the feet were normal and showed no abnormality. However, the child was diagnosed to have a severe sensorineural auditory defect. Radiograph of the foot revealed nine fully developed toes with eight metatarsals (Fig. 2). The metatarsal of the preaxial great toe was additionally bearing the accessory toe. Based on the clinicoradiological examination, a diagnosis of mirror foot was made. The great toe was at an angle of 70° from the long axis of the foot. A wedge resection of the four supernumerary duplicated toes was done. After the excision of the toes, the gap was approximated by bringing the first ray laterally and was fixed by passing the “K” wire (Kirschner’s wire) from the tip of distal phalynx of the great toe across the first metatarsal further into the midfoot after realigning the great toe over the first metatarsal and release of the abductor hallucis muscle and the metatarso phalangeal joint capsule on the medial side (Fig. 3).

Soft tissues were sutured to avoid any tension on the suture line. Postoperatively, a long leg slab was given to facilitate wound management. At the time of discharge, a below-knee cast was given. The cast was removed at 4 weeks and the “K” wire at 6 weeks. The postoperative course was uneventful until the removal of cast and “K” wire. The patient turned up for follow-up after nearly 3 years. Shortening of the great toe by 2 cm was noted. No evidence of hallux varus or splaying of the foot was noted (Fig. 4). The patient was asymptomatic and could run and play as any other child. X-ray was taken of the foot to reveal hypoplastic first ray with a shortened first metatarsal and also proximal and distal phalanges (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Polydactyly of foot is a relatively common anomaly of the foot.20 There is no consensus regarding the definition of mirror foot. It has been suggested by some that the
presence of accessory tarsal bones differentiates it from polydactyly. However, some have not included it because of its variability. The term preaxial mirror polydactyly has been coined to include feet with supernumerary rays situated medial to the first ray (preaxially) with characteristics of postaxial toes. Preaxial mirror polydactyly (mirror foot) is an extremely rare congenital deformity of the foot. Preaxial mirror polydactyly is distinctly rare with only 30 cases reported in the literature.

Three signaling centers that control limb development have been described: Apical ectodermal ridge (AER), zone of polarizing activity (ZPA), and the wingless-type (Wnt) signaling center.

Limb buds mark their appearance as lateral outgrowths of body wall at about 5-mm stage in human embryonic development. Lower limb buds appear a little later. As the limb bud is formed, the ectoderm along the dorsal tip of the bud is induced by underlying somatopleuric mesoderm to form a ridgelike thickening at dorso-ventral boundary of the limb bud. The thickening is called AER. The AER influences the limb mesenchyme to initiate the growth and development of the limb in a proximo-distal axis. On the posterior margin of the limb bud, the mesenchymal cells aggregate to form the ZPA, which signals the growth and development in the antero-posterior axis. Experimentally, mirror image digit duplication has been produced by transplantation of ZPA to the cranial portion of limb bud. The Wnt signaling center induces the underlying mesoderm to adopt the dorsal characteristics. Digital rays and toes in the foot plates are formed by the mesenchymal condensation during the 7th week.

Polydactyly has been associated with 39 genetic mutations. A number of gene loci have been identified that are responsible for human polydactyly phenotypes. Genes, such as GL13 and SHH have been related to polydactyly. Mutations in SHH genes may be responsible for mirror image digits.

Preaxial mirror polydactyly can be associated with fibular dimelia with complete tibial aplasia, tibial aplasia without fibular duplication, tibial hypoplasia, and dysplastic trapezoidal tibia.

There is association of polydactyly with several syndromes: Holt–Oram, Down, cephalopolysyndactyly, faciodigital, Rubinstein–Taybi, Ellis Van Creveld, Lawrence–Moon–Bardet–Biedl, and Pallister–Hall.

The etiology is still elusive. Among the few cases reported, the number of toes varied from 7 to 9 with equal, one less, or more number of metatarsals. In our case, the great toe was in 70° varus and four supernumerary toes were interposed between the preaxial great toe and the four postaxial normal toes in all making it a nine-toed right foot with eight metatarsals. The age of presentation in different reported cases varied from 4 months to 40 years and also the age at which surgery was done was from 1 to 7 years. All the few operated cases with follow-up underwent wedge resection of the supernumerary toes with excision of the accessory tarsal bones. There being no directives of the timing of definitive surgery, revision, or staged surgery in literature, we operated at 1 year at just about walking age of patient excising all the supernumerary toes and accessory metatarsal bones. We did not find any accessory tarsal bones. As per general principles, it is prudent to preserve the most developed toe with intact ray in order to restore the normal contour of the foot. Complications, such as hallux varus, splaying of foot, and shortening of first metatarsal were kept in mind before surgery and discussed with the patient’s parents. At 3 years postsurgery follow-up, the patient could wear his shoes and participate in all activities just as other children. We ensured that there were no duplicated tarsals as has been advised by some authors to avoid a revision surgery at a later stage.

However, the shortening of the first metatarsal about 2 cm was not addressed at this stage, as this was not disabling to the patient; except for cosmetic reasons, the patient’s attendants were very satisfied with the functional outcome.

The classification of foot polydactyly is myriad in literature. Fukazawa et al have proposed a classification based on mirror hand classification with some recommendations for treatment. Some have classified polydactyly based on location of duplicated toes and the syndrome associated. Watanabe et al proposed a classification based on the location of supernumerary toes into preaxial, central, and lateral and further subdivided it into tarsal, metatarsal, proximal, and distal phalangeal.
groups. This classification has profound implication in planning surgery; hence, it is widely popular.

The success of our case can be attributed to early surgery before the child started walking, ensuring no accessory tarsals, and delayed removal of “K” wire at 6 weeks to ensure the stability of great toe in the realigned position.
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